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THE THEORY OF MEMBRANE EQUILIBRIA

F. G. DONNAN

Physical Chemistry Laboratory, University College, London

In 1890 Wilhelm Ostwald (1) drew attention to the peculiar electrical and other effects which must occur in a system in which two solutions containing electrolytes are separated by a membrane which is freely permeable to most of the ions, but impermeable to at least one of them. It is a curious fact that the interesting ionic equilibria and potential differences which must occur in such a system, and which provide a very striking proof of the existence of ionization in solution, were not more fully investigated at an early stage in the development of the theory of Arrhenius.

In 1911 the author in collaboration with Harris (2) noticed the occurrence of these peculiar ionic equilibria during the course of an investigation of the osmotic pressure of aqueous solutions of Congo red. As the equilibrium equations resulting from the second law of thermodynamics are extremely simple if we assume that the electrolytes are completely ionized and that the ions act like ideal solutes, a statement of these approximate equations in a number of typical cases was given by the author (3). The exact equations can, however, be stated only in terms of the chemical potentials of Willard Gibbs, or of the ion activities or ionic activity-coefficients of G. N. Lewis. Indeed an accurate experimental study of the equilibria produced by ionically semi-permeable membranes may prove to be of value in the investigation of ionic activity coefficients.

It must therefore be understood that, if in the following pages ionic concentrations and not ionic activities are used, this is done in order to present a simple, though only approximate,

1 An essay prepared in connection with the dedication of the Sterling Chemistry Laboratory.
statement of the fundamental relationships. A good idea of the nature of the phenomena in question may be obtained by considering a very simple case. Let us suppose that a membrane \( M \) separates two solutions (1) and (2) of equal and constant volume containing initially only the salts \( \text{NaA} \) and \( \text{KA} \) respectively, the membrane being permeable to the sodium and potassium ions but not to the anion \( A \). Evidently the ions \( \text{Na} \) and \( \text{K} \) can exchange places by means of diffusion across the membrane, but this exchange is subject to the restraint condition of equimolar quantities. The whole system is therefore subject to two conditions of restraint. At first sight one might be inclined to think that the interchange of sodium and potassium ions would proceed until these ions were equally distributed throughout the whole volume of solution. This would occur if the membrane were permeable also to the ion \( A \); but that it will not generally occur in the present case can be readily seen. We may (assuming complete ionization) represent the initial and final states as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc|cc}
& \text{M} & \text{Initial} & \text{Equilibrium} \\
\hline
\text{c}_1 \text{Na}^+ & \text{K}^+ & \text{c}_1 \\
\text{c}_1 \text{A}^- & \text{A}^- & \text{c}_2 \\
\hline
\text{(1)} & \text{(2)} \\
\text{c}_1 - x \text{Na}^+ & \text{Na}^+ & \text{x} \\
x \text{K}^+ & \text{K}^+ & \text{c}_1 - x \\
\text{c}_1 \text{A}^- & \text{A}^- & \text{c}_2 \\
\end{array}
\]

If \( F \) denote the free energy of the system, then the equilibrium state is characterized by the condition \((\delta F)_{p,t} = 0\).

Consider the variation

\[
\delta n \text{ mols } \text{Na}^+ (1) \rightarrow (2) \\
\delta n \text{ mols } \text{K}^+ (2) \rightarrow (1)
\]
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Electrical work terms vanish, and we have simply

\[ \delta F = RT \left\{ \delta n \log \frac{[Na^+]_i}{[Na^+]_a} + \delta n \log \frac{[K^+]_a}{[K^+]_i} \right\} = 0 \]

so that

\[ \frac{[Na^+]_i}{[K^+]_i} = \frac{[Na^+]_a}{[K^+]_a} \]

Thus

\[ \frac{c_1 - z}{x} = \frac{z}{c_2 - z}, \quad z = \frac{c_1 c_2}{c_1 + c_2} \]

The equilibrium state of the system is therefore:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Na}^+ & \text{Na}^+ & \frac{c_1 c_2}{c_1 + c_2} \\
\frac{c_1 c_2}{c_1 + c_2} & \frac{c_1 c_2}{c_1 + c_2} & \frac{c_1^2}{c_1 + c_2} \\
c_1 & A^- & A^- \\
\end{array}
\]

(1) (2)

\[ \frac{[Na^+]_i}{[K^+]_i} = \frac{[Na^+]_a}{[K^+]_a} = \frac{c_1}{c_3} \]

Thus only when \( c_1 = c_3 \) is it true that for equilibrium

\[ [Na^+]_i = [K^+]_i \] and \([Na^+]_a = [K^+]_a \]

Suppose \( c_1 = 1, \ c_2 = 10 \). Then the equilibrium state is:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{11} & \text{Na}^+ & \text{Na}^+ \frac{10}{11} \\
\frac{10}{11} & K^+ & K^+ \frac{100}{11} \\
1 & A^- & A^- 10 \\
\end{array}
\]

(1) (2)

In other words, 9.1 per cent of the potassium ions originally present in (2) diffuse to (1), while 90.9 per cent of the sodium
ions originally present in (1) diffuse to (2). Thus the fall of a relatively small percentage of the potassium ions down a concentration gradient is sufficient in this case to pull a very high percentage of the sodium ions up a concentration gradient. The equilibrium state represents the simplest possible case of two electrically interlocked and balanced diffusion-gradients. These ionic exchange equilibria have been experimentally investigated (4) in the case of the ferrocyanides of sodium and potassium separated by a membrane of copper ferrocyanide gel supported in the pores of a sheet of parchment paper. The concentration ratios at equilibrium were found to be in fair agreement with the simple theory sketched above. The same result was obtained in the equilibrium between sodium caseinate and potassium caseinate across a membrane of parchment paper.\(^2\)

A very interesting case occurs when the valencies of the two exchanging ions are unequal. Thus suppose we substitute the divalent calcium ion for the potassium ion: the second restraint condition (equivalent inter-diffusion) requires that for every calcium ion passing from (2) to (1) two sodium ions must simultaneously diffuse from (1) to (2). Hence

\[
\delta F = RT \left\{ 2n \log \frac{[Na^+]_1}{[Na^+]_2} + 3n \log \frac{[Ca^{++}]_1}{[Ca^{++}]_2} \right\} = 0
\]

or

\[
\frac{[Ca^{++}]_1}{[Na^+]_1} = \frac{[Ca^{++}]_2}{[Na^+]_2}^3
\]

or

\[
\frac{[Ca^{++}]_1}{[Ca^{++}]_2} = \left( \frac{[Na^+]_1}{[Na^+]_2} \right)^3
\]

This relationship has been tested (4) in the case of solutions of the ferrocyanides of sodium and calcium separated by a copper-ferrocyanide gel membrane, and found to be in fair agreement with the facts.

\(^2\) Unpublished results.
Returning to the case of NaA and KA, let us consider the single variation

$$\delta n \text{ mols K}^+ \quad (2) \rightarrow (1)$$

If there existed no electrical potential gradient across the membrane, this would lead to the equation of equilibrium 

$$[K^+]_1 = [K^+]_2$$

which is contrary to the experimentally ascertained facts. A potential difference $\varepsilon$ must therefore exist between solutions (1) and (2). If $\varepsilon$ denote the excess of the positive potential of solution (1) above that of (2), the variational criterion of equilibrium now becomes

$$\delta n \ RT \ log \frac{[K^+]_2}{[K^+]_1} - F \ \delta n \ \varepsilon = 0$$

or

$$\varepsilon = \frac{RT}{F} \ log \ \lambda$$

where

$$\frac{[K^+]_2}{[K^+]_1} = \frac{[Na^+]_2}{[Na^+]_1} = \lambda$$

This relationship has been experimentally tested (5) in the simpler case of two solutions of potassium ferrocyanide of unequal concentrations separated by a copper ferrocyanide membrane. The experimental results were in fair agreement with the theory.

Such a membrane must produce a concentration polarization on the passage of an electrical current. Thus considering the two layers of solution on either side of the membrane and the passage of $1F$ of positive electricity from (2) to (1):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{K}^+ \\
n\text{K}^+ \\
(1-n)\text{A}^- \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
\text{K}^+ \\
n\text{K}^+ \\
(1-n)\text{A}^- \\
\end{array}
\]

(1) (2)
As a result (2) loses \((1-n)KA\) and (1) gains an equal amount. The stationary state will be reached when the rates of change of concentration produced by the current are balanced by diffusion. This simple state of affairs may, however, be complicated by other actions, such as streaming effects due to inequalities of density, precipitations, electrostenolysis, etc. (compare Ostwald, loc. cit.).

Another interesting case of ionic equilibria across a semipermeable membrane arises when the system contains two electrolytes with a common ion, the non-dialyzing ion being, however, one of the other ions (whether cation or anion). Suppose, for example, we have the chlorides BCl and HCl, the membrane being freely permeable to H\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) but not to B\(^+\). Considering equal volumes and complete ionization, the initial and equilibrium states may be represented thus:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
M & & M \\
\hline
\text{Initial} & \text{Equilibrium} \\
\hline
c_1 B^+ & H^+ c_2 & \text{(1)} \\
c_1 Cl^- & Cl^- c_2 & \text{(2)} \\
(1) & (2) & (1) & (2)
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{Initial Equilibrium}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
M & & M \\
\hline
\text{Initial Equilibrium} & \\
\hline
c_1 B^+ & H^+ c_1 & \text{(1)} \\
c_1 Cl^- & Cl^- c_1 & \text{(2)} \\
(1) & (2) & (1) & (2)
\end{array}
\]

The variation \(\delta n\) mols H\(^+\) (2) \(\rightarrow\) (1), \(\delta n\) mols Cl\(^-\) (2) \(\rightarrow\) (1) gives for the equilibrium state \([H^+]_1 \cdot [Cl^-]_1 = [H^+]_2 \cdot [Cl^-]_2\) so that \(x (c_1 + x) = (c_2 - x)^2\), whence \(x = \frac{c_2}{c_1+2c_2}\). The equilibrium state is therefore:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
M & & M \\
\hline
\text{Initial Equilibrium} & \\
\hline
c_1 B^+Cl^- & \text{H}^+\text{Cl}^- (c_1 + c_2) \cdot \frac{c_1}{c_1+2c_2} \\
c_1 - c_3 \cdot \frac{c_3}{c_1+2c_2} & \text{H}^+\text{Cl}^- \\
(1) & (2) & (1) & (2)
\end{array}
\]
Thus

\[
\frac{[H^+_{1}]}{[H^+_{1}]} = \frac{[H^+Cl^-]}{[H^+Cl^-]} = 1 + \frac{c_1}{c_2}
\]

If \(c_1\) be large compared with \(c_2\) the expression \(1 + \frac{c_1}{c_2}\) will be much greater than unity. The peculiarity of this equilibrium is therefore that the presence of the ionized salt BCl with the non-diffusible cation B\(^+\) acts so as to hinder the diffusion of hydrochloric acid to the side where BCl occurs, or conversely to expel hydrochloric acid to the other side. The inequality of the ionic concentrations on either side shows that an electrical potential difference must exist across the membrane. Considering either of the single variations

\[6n \text{ mols } H^+ (2) \rightarrow (1), 6n \text{ mols } Cl^- (2) \rightarrow (1)\]

and calling \(\varepsilon\) the excess of the positive potential of (1) over that of (2), it follows that

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{RT}{F} \log \frac{[H^+]_{1}}{[H^+]_{1}} = \frac{RT}{F} \log \frac{[Cl^-]_{1}}{[Cl^-]_{1}} = \frac{RT}{F} \log \left(1 + \frac{c_1}{c_2}\right)
\]

If we keep \(c_1\) constant and increase \(c_2\) both the ratio of unequal distribution of acid and the membrane P.D. will diminish, approaching unity and zero respectively in the limit. Similar phenomena will occur in the case of BCl and NaCl (or KCl), or in the case of NaA and NaCl (where A\(^-\) is a non-diffusible anion). If any of the diffusible ions possess different valencies, the equations given above must be modified in a manner which will be evident to the reader.

These unequal distributions have been investigated in the cases of Congo red and sodium chloride (2), potassium chloride and potassium ferrocyanide (6), sodium caseinate and sodium chloride. In the latter case a fair agreement between theory and experiment is obtained if the sodium chloride be assumed to be completely ionized and if Pauli's values for the ionization of the caseinate be adopted. It will be noticed that in the case of such ionic equilibria the effect of the unequal distribution of

* Unpublished results.
the diffusible electrolyte will be to produce an osmotic pressure acting counter to that of the non-diffusible electrolyte. Thus in the example discussed above, the O.P. of BC₁ will be (assuming ideal ionic behavior) $2RTc₁$. The counter O.P. due to the unequal distribution of HCl =

$$2RT \frac{c₁c₂}{c₁ + 2c₂}$$

The apparent O.P. of the BC₁ will therefore be

$$2RTc₁ \frac{c₁ + c₂}{c₁ + 2c₂}$$

If $c₂$ be large compared to $c₁$, the apparent O.P. of the BC₁ will be approximately equal to $RTc₁$. A high value of the ratio $c₂/ c₁$ will therefore appear to repress completely the ionization of the salt BC₁, even though both $c₁$ and $c₂$ are individually small. Thus if B were a "colloidal" cation (or ionic micelle) of molecular weight, say, 5000, then for a 1 per cent solution of BC₁ $c₁ = 0.002$ molar approximately. If $c₂ = 0.02$, the apparent osmotic pressure of BC₁ would be only 5 per cent greater than the value corresponding to zero ionization.

It is worthy of remark that these ionic equilibria may be treated from the standpoint of the second law of thermodynamics in another manner. Thus in the case last dealt with, suppose reversible hydrogen electrodes be placed in both (1) and (2). If the system be in true equilibrium, the total e.m.f. will be zero, and therefore the membrane P.D. must be equal to

$$\frac{RT}{F} \log \frac{[H⁺]₁}{[H⁺]₂}$$

A similar consideration of reversible chlorine electrodes leads to the value

$$\frac{RT}{F} \log \frac{[Cl⁻]₁}{[Cl⁻]₂}$$

Hence

$$[H⁺]₁ \cdot [Cl⁻]₁ = [H⁺]₂ \cdot [Cl⁻]₂$$
The two methods rest, of course, on the same basis, namely, the second law and the assumption that the system is in equilibrium. The primary condition of constraint assumed in the preceding discussion will be satisfied if for the semi-permeable membrane there be substituted an immiscible liquid layer which dissolves one of the electrolytes but not the other. It is easy to show that in this case the sum of the two "phase-interface potential differences" is equal to the value calculated above for the total difference of potential $\epsilon$ between the two aqueous solutions (7). Whether we deal with semi-permeable gel membranes or with layers of a very slightly miscible liquid, the condition of non-permeability may not be always an absolute one, i.e., the "non-permeable" electrolyte may be very slowly diffusing through the separating barrier. In such cases the true equilibrium state may be practically attained if the speed of diffusion of the "diffusible" ions be very great in comparison.

The simple principles indicated in the preceding discussion may be easily applied to systems containing any number of different and diffusible ions. Suppose for example that we have present besides BCl an electrolyte with no ion in common, e.g., NaNO$_3$. The initial state is:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
& B^+ & Na^+ \\ 
\hline
& Cl^- & NO_3^- \\ 
\hline
\end{array}
\]

At first sight we might be led to suppose that in this case the sodium nitrate would distribute itself equally in the two equal volumes. Such a supposition neglects the fact that the system we are now considering possesses both the types of ionic interchange previously considered, since although Na$^+$ can pass from (2) to (1) only in company with a negative ion, Cl$^-$ and NO$_3^-$ can interchange without the assistance of Na$^+$. 
The equilibrium state may be represented thus:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
& c_1 & 2 & c_2 \\
\hline
1 & B^+ & Na^+ & c_1 - x \\
2 & Na^+ & Cl^- & z \\
3 & Cl^- & NO_3^- & c_2 - y \\
\end{array}
\]

with the condition \( x = y - z \).

The equilibrium conditions for the diffusible ions give

\[
\frac{[Na^+]_1}{[Na^+]_s} = \frac{[Cl^-]_1}{[Cl^-]_s} = \frac{[NO_3^-]_1}{[NO_3^-]_s} = \lambda
\]

Hence

\[
\lambda = \frac{[Na^+]_1 + [Cl^-]_1 + [NO_3^-]_1}{[Na^+]_s + [Cl^-]_s + [NO_3^-]_s} = \frac{c_1 - x + c_1 - z + y}{x + z + c_2 - y} = 1 + \frac{c_1}{c_2}
\]

Thus the deductions as regards membrane P.D. and apparent osmotic pressure of BCl are the same as in the previous case. Solving the equilibrium equations

\[
x = y - z \\
x(c_1 - z) = z(c_2 - x) \\
x = (c_1 - z)(c_2 - y)
\]

we get

\[
z = \frac{c_1^2}{c_1 + 2c_2}, \quad y = \frac{c_1(c_1 - c_2)}{c_1 + 2c_2}, \quad z = \frac{c_2c_1}{c_1 + 2c_2}
\]

The osmotic pressure of (1) against (2) is

\[
2RT (c_1 - c_2 + 2z) = 2RT \cdot c_1 \frac{c_1 + c_2}{c_1 + 2c_2}
\]

If \( c_2 \) be large compared with \( c_1 \), this expression reduces as in the previous case to \( RTc_1 \). If we suppose \( c_1 \) large compared with \( c_2 \), say \( c_1 = 10c_2 \), then \( \lambda = 11 \) and therefore

\[
[Na^+]_1 = 11[Na^+]_s \\
[Cl^-]_1 = 11[Cl^-]_s \\
[NO_3^-]_1 = 11[NO_3^-]_s
\]
Thus most of the sodium ions are prevented from diffusing from (2) into (1), whereas most of the \( \text{NO}_3^- \) ions pass from (2) into (1) and only a small percentage of the \( \text{Cl}^- \) ions from (1) into (2). If the solution (1) inside its separating membrane be frequently dialyzed against fresh sodium nitrate solution and finally against pure water, the \( \text{B}^+\text{Cl}^- \) may be almost completely converted into \( \text{B}^+\text{NO}_3^- \). This sort of action resembles the ionic exchanges which occur in the well-known "Permutite" process of water-softening.

Let us now consider the effect of a diffusible electrolyte with no common ion (e.g., \( \text{NaNO}_3 \)) on the unequal distribution of \( \text{HCl} \) in presence of \( \text{BCl} \) previously considered.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Initial state} & \\
c_1 & \quad \text{B}^+ \\c_2 & \quad \text{Cl}^- \\c_3 & \quad \text{Na}^+ \\c_4 & \quad \text{NO}_3^+ \\
(1) & \\
\text{Equilibrium state} & \\
c_1 & \quad \text{B}^+ \\c_2 & \quad \text{H}^+ \\c_3 & \quad \text{Cl}^- \\c_4 & \quad \text{Na}^+ \\c_5 & \quad \text{NO}_3^- \\
(2)
\end{align*}
\]

with the condition \( x + y = w + z \).

The equilibrium conditions give

\[
\frac{[\text{H}^+]}{[\text{H}^+]_1} = \frac{[\text{Na}^+]}{[\text{Na}^+]_1} = \frac{[\text{Cl}^-]}{[\text{Cl}^-]_1} = \frac{[\text{NO}_3^-]}{[\text{NO}_3^-]_1} = \lambda
\]

Proceeding as in the previous case

\[
\lambda = \frac{c_2 + c_3 - (x + y) + c_1 + (w + z)}{(x + y) + c_1 + c_2 - (w + z)} = 1 + \frac{c_1}{c_2 + c_3}
\]

Thus, even though \( c_1 \) be relatively large in comparison with \( c_2 \), a condition which in the absence of \( \text{NaNO}_3 \) would lead to a
very unequal distribution of HCl and a considerable membrane potential, if \( c_3 \) be large in comparison with \( c_1 \), these effects will be practically annulled (\( \lambda \) not very different from unity).

Interesting types of equilibrium arise when we consider the phenomena of neutralization or hydrolysis across a semi-permeable membrane, and such cases have proved of interest in connection with the physical chemistry of the proteins. Suppose there is present on one side of the membrane a feeble monoacid base \( B_o \), the membrane being impermeable both to the base and to its cation \( B^+ \). Neglecting the ionization of the base, the initial state of the system may be represented thus:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c}
  c_o \quad B_o & \text{water} & \text{(1)} \quad \text{(2)} \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( c_o \) denotes the molar concentration of \( B_o \). The osmotic pressure will be \( RTc_o \). If hydrochloric acid be added to the pure water side, \( H^+ \) and \( Cl^- \) ions will diffuse into (1) and produce a partial neutralization and ionization of \( B_o \), forming \( B^+Cl^- \). This will increase the osmotic pressure of solution (1), but the unequal distribution of the hydrochloric acid will produce a counter osmotic pressure tending to lessen the increase due to the ionization of \( B_o \). The equilibrium state may be represented thus:

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}
  c_o - z \quad B_o & \quad z \quad B^+ & \quad y \quad H^+ & \quad y + z \quad Cl^- & \text{(1)} \\
\end{array}
\]

the equation for the membrane equilibrium being therefore

\[ z^2 = y(y + z) \]

Also

\[ z = \frac{c_0 y}{K + y} \]
where \( K = \frac{K_w}{K_b} \), \( K_b \) being the ionization constant of the base and \( K_w \) the ionic product for water. Elimination of \( z \) between these equations gives

\[(x^2 - y^2)^2 (K - y) = c_0 y^2 \] \hspace{1cm} (1)

The osmotic pressure \( P \) of (1) against (2) is given by

\[ P = R T \left\{ 2y + z + c_o - 2x \right\} \]

which may be written

\[ P = R T \frac{(x - y)^3}{y} + R T c_o \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

Equations (1) and (2) determine \( P \) as a function of \( x \) and \( c^* \)
(at the given temperature \( T \)).

The first term on the right-hand side in the equation for \( P \) depends on the unequal distribution of \( H^+ \) ions. It vanishes for \( x = 0 \), and also tends asymptotically to zero for values of \( x \) large in comparison with \( c_0 \). Thus \( P \), starting with the value \( R T c_o \), will increase, reach a maximum, and then diminish asymptotically to its original value as \( x \) increases. These conclusions depend of course on the assumptions of complete ionization of \( HCl \) and \( BC1 \) and the laws of ideal solutions.

We may arrive at the same conclusions in another way. Calling the expression \( (2y + z + c_o - 2x) \), \( e \),

\[ P = R T e \]

From the equations

\[ x^2 = y(y + z) \text{ and } 2y + z + c_o - 2x = e \]

it follows that

\[ e = c_o - 2x + \sqrt{4x^2 + z^2} \]

and hence

\[ P = R T \left\{ \sqrt{4x^2 + z^2} - 2x \right\} + R T c_o \]

When \( x = 0 \), \( z = 0 \); and with increasing \( x \), \( z \) tends towards the limiting value \( c_o \). Thus both for \( x = 0 \) and for values of \( x \) large in comparison with \( c \), \( P = R T c_o \). The variation of the membrane
potential \( \varepsilon \) with increasing \( x \) can be followed in a similar manner. If \( \varepsilon \) denotes the excess of the positive potential of solution (1) over that of solution (2), then, since \( \frac{x^2}{y^2} = 1 + \frac{z}{y} \)

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{RT}{F} \log \sqrt{1 + \frac{z}{y}} = \frac{RT}{2F} \log \left(1 + \frac{z}{y}\right)
\]

When \( x = 0, \varepsilon = 0 \), if we neglect the small ionization of the weak base \( B_0 \). As \( x \) increases continuously so does \( y \), while \( z \) tends towards the finite value \( c_0 \). Thus with increasing \( x \) \( \varepsilon \) will increase, reach a maximum, and then sink asymptotically to zero.

If \( B_0 \) be a poly-acid \((n-\text{acid})\) base, the preceding equations for the osmotic pressure of solution (1) against solution (2) become more complex. For values of \( y \) corresponding to nearly complete neutralization (ionization) according to the equation

\[ B_0 + n\text{HCl} = B_0^{(n+)} + n\text{Cl}^- \]

we may represent the equilibrium thus:

\[
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\text{y} & \text{H}^+ & \\
\text{z} & n & \text{Cl}^- \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c|cc}
\text{Cl}^- & \text{H}^+ & z \\
\text{B}_0^{(n+)} & \text{Cl}^- & z \\
\end{array}
\]

Under these conditions we have

\[ P = RT \frac{(x - y)^2}{y} + RT \frac{z}{n} \]

which with increasing \( x \) tends towards

\[ P = RT \frac{(x - y)^2}{y} + RT \varepsilon_1 \]

If \( B_0 \) be a substance of very high molecular weight (say a protein molecule or aggregate) the second term in the equations for the osmotic pressure may be relatively small. However,
even though \(c_0\) be small, the final value of \(z(n = n_c)\) may not be negligible, since \(n\) may be large (regarding \(B^{n(+)}\) as an "ionic micelle").

Very many interesting investigations based on this simple theory have been made by Jacques Loeb (8) and his collaborators. In this work, among other things, the effects of acids, alkalis and salts on the osmotic pressures and membrane potentials of the amphoteric proteins have been studied. Loeb has shown that the simple theory of membrane equilibria is capable of accounting fairly quantitatively for a great many of his experimental results, and regards this as a proof that the phenomena exhibited by the protein ampholytes are due to simple chemical reactions and not to the adsorption of ions by colloid aggregates or micelles. While this view may be correct in many instances, it is necessary to remember that the theory of membrane equilibria depends simply on two assumptions:

\(a.\) The existence of equilibrium
\(b.\) The existence of certain constraints which restrict the free diffusion of one or more electrically charged or ionised constituents

and that the equations which result from the theory will hold equally well whether we have to deal with "colloid units" which have acquired an ionic character (electrical charge) by adsorption of ions, or with simple molecules which have become ionized by the loss or gain of electrons. All that is necessary for the theory is that the simple ionized molecules or the ionic micelles be subject to the same constraint, namely inability to diffuse freely through the membrane. This constraint then imposes a restraint on the equal distribution on both sides of the membrane of other otherwise freely diffusible ions, thus giving rise to the concentration, osmotic, and electrical effects with which the theory deals. Thus, in the type of equilibrium last discussed, it is only necessary to assume that of the \(\text{Cl}^-\) ions which diffuse from (2) into (1), a certain proportion (of concentration \(z\)) are unable to wander freely back and forth because their average total electric charge is balanced by that of constituents which
for some reason or other cannot do so. The adsorption of certain ions on the surface of a solid wall would provide an equally effective constraint, and the "binding" of these ions would impose a restraint on the free diffusion and mobility of an electrically equivalent amount of oppositely charged ions. In fact, J. A. Wilson and W. H. Wilson (9) have considered ionic adsorption equilibria from this point of view.

H. R. Procter (10) and his collaborators have used the theory of membrane equilibria to account for the effect of acids and salts on the swelling of gelatine. The gelatine jelly is regarded by Procter as an elastic structural network of gelatine molecules into which molecules of water, acid, etc., can diffuse. The hydrogen ions of the acid react chemically with the gelatin molecules which thereby become ionized. Although there is no membrane, the necessary condition of constraint is provided by the inability of the gelatine ions to leave the structural network owing to the forces of cohesion which hold it together. This imposes a restraint on the free diffusibility of an equivalent amount of the anions of the acid, and as a result there is produced an unequal distribution of hydrogen ions and anions of the acid between the gelatine jelly phase and the surrounding aqueous solution, and an increase of the osmotic pressure of the jelly solution against this external solution (although the gelatine molecules and ions of the structural net-work make no contribution thereto). On the basis of this theory Proter and Wilson have been able to account quantitatively for the remarkable effect of acids in low concentrations in first increasing and then diminishing the swelling of the gelatine jelly. As in the case previously discussed, \( x^2 = y(y + z) \), and therefore \( 2y + z \), the sum of the concentrations of the diffusible ions of the jelly phase must be greater than \( 2x \), the sum of the ions of the external aqueous solution. It is this difference of concentrations which gives rise to the excess osmotic pressure of the jelly, and hence the entrance of water into it and consequent swelling. The osmotic equilibrium must be due to an increased hydrostatic pressure inside the jelly, so that it is assumed that the stretching of the molecular net work or frame work gives rise to forces
which produce this increase of internal pressure. The important fact is that at equilibrium the concentration of acid inside the jelly is less than that of the external solution. From the equation \( x^2 = y(y + z) \), it follows at once that \( y < x \).

It may be remarked that Tolman and Stearn (11) have worked out an alternative theory of these swelling effects, on the assumption of electrical repulsions caused by the formation of electrical double layers due to adsorption of ions at the interfaces of a very fine two-phase structure.

A decision on these matters must await the complete elucidation of the internal physical structure and equilibrium of such jellies, though it may be said that a good deal of modern work lends support to the view taken by Procter. An adsorption of hydrogen ions by colloidal aggregates or micelles (constituting the units of the "molecular" network) would lead to the same constraint condition and the same general equations as the ionization of the amphoteric protein molecules assumed by Procter. The difference between these two points of view may not be very great, since the hydrogen ions may be "bound" in one case by primary and in the other case by secondary chemical forces (valencies). The researches of I. Langmuir on adsorption have thrown a great deal of light on this point.

Procter's theory of the effects of acids, etc., on the swelling of gelatine jellies has been adopted by Loeb (8), who considers that his own experiments are in support of it.

The ionic equilibria, osmotic pressures, and potential differences discussed in the preceding pages may have an interesting bearing on the ionic and other exchanges which take place between living cells or tissues and their circumambient fluids. In these cases, however, the phenomena may be complicated by the variable permeability of the cell membranes and by many other factors, so that the extremely simple relationships which result from the ideal theory of membrane equilibria as sketched in this paper will represent only a very small part of the associated complex of phenomena which make up the reaction of the cell towards variations of its environment. But in the phenomena of living matter, as in other branches of
science, we can understand the complex only by analyzing it into its simplest constituents and ascertaining, one by one, the laws which govern the operation—the action and the equilibrium—of these constituent phenomena. Since many living cells do exhibit selective permeability as regards ions, it is possible that ionic exchanges and equilibria of the sort discussed in this paper may occur between the cell and the external fluid (12).
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